



Private Equity Alert

February 2009
Special Edition

Deleveraging the Portfolio Company – Stimulus Legislation Provides Tax Relief

By Martin Pollack (martin.pollack@weil.com), Marc Silberberg
(marc.silberberg@weil.com) and Max Goodman (max.goodman@weil.com)

The economic stimulus legislation passed by Congress and signed by the President includes important tax relief that may facilitate the deleveraging of portfolio companies' balance sheets. Where applicable, the legislation would allow a portfolio company to defer the recognition of cancellation of debt ("COD") income and avoid certain limitations on deductions of original issue discount ("OID"). The following is a brief summary of these new rules.

Deferral of COD Income. A portfolio company may elect to defer the inclusion of COD income that results from the occurrence, in 2009 or 2010, of (i) a cash purchase of the portfolio company's debt by the portfolio company or a related person,¹ (ii) a debt-for-debt exchange (including a deemed exchange resulting from the significant modification of outstanding debt),² (iii) a debt-for-equity exchange, (iv) a contribution of debt to capital, or (v) a holder's complete forgiveness of debt (each, a "COD Transaction").³

Such income would be includible ratably over a 5-year period beginning in the fifth tax year after the tax year in which the COD Transaction occurs (for COD Transactions in 2009) or the fourth tax year after the tax year in which the COD Transaction occurs (for COD Transactions in 2010). Thus, if a calendar year portfolio company effects a COD Transaction in 2009, it could elect to defer the COD income and include it ratably during its 2014 through 2018 tax years.

Corresponding Deferral of OID. A portfolio company that elects to defer its COD income must defer the deduction of any OID attributable to debt that it issues (or is deemed to issue) in the COD Transaction (including debt the proceeds of which are used to repurchase outstanding debt). The deferred deductions generally would not exceed the deferred COD income, and would be taken into account over the same time period as the deferred COD income (*i.e.*, the 5-year period beginning 4 or 5 tax years after the tax year in which the COD Transaction occurs).⁴

Acceleration of Deferred COD Income. The portfolio company would have to include all deferred COD income (and would be allowed to claim its deferred OID deductions) in the tax year in which the portfolio company liquidates or sells substantially all its assets (including in a bankruptcy case) or ceases business and in other similar circumstances.⁵ If the portfolio company is a pass-through entity (*e.g.*, a partnership, limited liability company or S corporation), a partner, member or shareholder would have to include its share of the deferred COD income upon a

sale, exchange or redemption of its interest in the entity.⁶

Deferral Relief is Elective. The portfolio company must elect to have these rules apply on the tax return for the tax year in which the COD Transaction occurs, and would be irrevocable once made. In the case of pass-through entities, the election would be made by the pass-through entity (and not its owners). If the election is made, the deferral rule would trump the COD exclusion rules (e.g., the bankruptcy and insolvency exceptions). In the case of a pass-through entity, it is possible that different partners might have different perspectives on whether the deferral election is more advantageous than another exclusion.

AHYDO Relief. The new legislation also provides some relief from the AHYDO rules – i.e., rules that, if applicable, defer or disallow OID deductions on certain debt.⁷ This relief, although limited, is welcome because, in the context of COD Transactions, the AHYDO rules tend to operate unfairly. To be eligible for such relief, the portfolio company must have issued the debt during the

period beginning September 1, 2008 and ending December 31, 2009 in exchange (including a deemed exchange) for outstanding debt that was not subject to the AHYDO limitations. Relief would not be available for debt issued to a related person or that has certain types of contingent interest. Treasury can extend this relief to debt issued after December 31, 2009 if it determines that it is appropriate in light of distressed conditions in the debt capital markets.

If you wish to discuss these new rules or any of the other tax issues arising in connection with restructuring a portfolio company's debt, please call Marc L. Silberberg (212-310-8261), Martin D. Pollack (212-310-8461) or Max A. Goodman (212-310-8173).

-
- 1 Depending on the facts, the purchase by a sponsor of a portfolio company's debt at a discount may be treated for federal income tax purposes as if (i) the portfolio company purchased the debt for the price paid by the sponsor (producing COD income) and (ii) the portfolio company reissued the debt to the sponsor for such price (producing OID).
 - 2 A change in terms of outstanding debt may result in the deemed exchange of the outstanding debt for "new" debt. If the outstanding or "new" debt is treated as "traded on an established market" (as that term is specially defined for tax purposes), the

exchange may result in the creation of COD income and OID, even if the principal amount of the debt remains the same.

- 3 The elective deferral rule is available only for debt issued by (i) C corporations and (ii) any other person in connection with the conduct of a trade or business. Thus, this relief would be expected to be available to the typical portfolio company.
- 4 The deferral of OID deductions is not intended to precisely match the deduction of OID to the inclusion of deferred COD, so it will not create a "wash" in all cases (e.g., debt with a remaining term of more than 10 years).
- 5 Special acceleration rules would apply to portfolio companies that file a bankruptcy case.
- 6 In addition, special rules apply to portfolio companies that are taxable as partnerships. These rules generally coordinate the COD income deferral rule with the normal rules that apply when a partner's share of partnership liabilities is reduced; these rules are intended to limit (but may not have eliminated) the circumstances in which the deferred income might result in a premature increase in a partner's taxable income under a different provision of the tax law.
- 7 An "applicable high-yield discount obligation" (an "AHYDO") generally is a debt instrument that (i) has a term of more than 5 years, (ii) has a yield that equals or exceeds the applicable federal rate plus 500 basis points, and (iii) in general, after the fifth anniversary of its issuance, has more than a limited amount of OID that has not been paid in cash. The applicable federal rate is a rate published monthly by the IRS that is based on Treasury obligations of comparable maturities. For debt issued after December 31, 2009, the new legislation authorizes Treasury to allow the use of a rate for purposes of the AHYDO rules that is higher than the applicable federal rate if it determines that it is appropriate in light of distressed conditions in the debt capital markets.

Back Issues of Private Equity Alert are available online at www.weil.com

Recent Articles:

The Hedge Fund Transparency Act: More Than It Seems
Too Clubby?
Fear and Greed
European Regulatory Initiatives Affecting Private Equity Sponsors and Hedge Funds
Buying Assets out of Bankruptcy in the US and the UK
Breaking Up Is Hard To Do – and Must Be Done Carefully
Round Up the Usual Suspects
A Modest Proposal by the Fed
Knee-Deepening Insolvency
Happy Birthday Mr. Borrower
China's Private Equity Landscape
In the PIPEline
SPACs – Exit Opportunities for Private Equity Sponsors?
District Court Dismisses Antitrust Suit Against Private Equity Bidders
Ignorance of the FCPA Is No Excuse
PBGC Ruling Extends Control Group Liability to Private Equity Fund
Private Equity Market – The Good, the Bad and the ?
144A Equity Offerings – Potential New Liquidity Option for Sponsors
Recent Securities Law Amendments May Increase Sponsor Liquidity
Shopping for Distressed Companies
Sir David Walker Publishes Guidelines for Disclosure and Transparency in Private Equity
A Rock and a Hard Place
The Pre-Budget Report – The UK Private Equity Industry Heaves a Collective

Private Equity Alert is published by the Private Equity Group of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10153, +1-212-310-8000. The Private Equity Group's practice includes the formation of private equity funds and the execution of domestic and cross-border acquisition and investment transactions. Our fund formation practice includes the representation of private equity fund sponsors in organizing a wide variety of private equity funds, including buyout, venture capital, distressed debt and real estate opportunity funds, and the representation of large institutional investors making investments in those funds. Our transaction execution practice includes the representation of private equity fund sponsors and their portfolio companies in a broad range of transactions, including leveraged buyouts, merger and acquisition transactions, strategic investments, recapitalizations, minority equity investments, distressed investments, venture capital investments and restructurings.

Editor: Doug Warner (doug.warner@weil.com), +1-212-310-8751

Deputy Editor: Michael Weisser (michael.weisser@weil.com), +1-212-310-8249

©2009. All rights reserved. Quotation with attribution is permitted. This publication provides general information and should not be used or taken as legal advice for specific situations that depend on the evaluation of precise factual circumstances. The views expressed in these articles reflect those of the authors and not necessarily the views of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP. If you would like to add a colleague to our mailing list or if you need to change or remove your name from our mailing list, please log on to <http://www.weil.com/weil/subscribe.html> or e-mail subscriptions@weil.com.

Beijing
Steven Xiang
+86-10-8515-0558

Boston
James Westra
+1-617-772-8377

Budapest
David Dederick
+1-361-302-9100

Dallas
Glenn West
+1-214-746-7780

Frankfurt
Gerhard Schmidt
+49-69-21659-700

Hong Kong
Akiko Mikumo
+852-3476-9008
Peter Feist
+852-3476-9100

London
Michael Francies
+44-20-7903-1170
Marco Compagnoni
+44-20-7903-1547

Munich
Gerhard Schmidt
+49-89-242430

New York
Thomas Roberts
+1-212-310-8479
Barry Wolf
+1-212-310-8209
Doug Warner
+1-212-310-8751

Paris
David Akin
+331-44-21-9797

Prague
Karel Muzikar
+420-2-2140-7300

Providence
David Duffell
+1-401-278-4700

Shanghai
Steven Xiang
+86-21-6288-1855

Silicon Valley
Craig Adas
+1-650-802-3020

Warsaw
Pawel Rymarz
+48-22-520-4000

Washington, DC
Robert Odle
+1-202-682-7180

Wilmington
E. Norman Veasey
+1-302-656-6600

www.weil.com